



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.206

Volume 8, Issue 4, April 2025



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

Corporate Income Tax and Business Investment Decisions: A Strategic and Financial Perspective

Dr. Kiran Kumar M¹, Ms. Shilpa S², Sneha Bhargavi S V³, Tanusrui Arun Kumar⁴

Assistant Professor – Finance, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School, Jain (Deemed-to-be-University), Bengaluru, Karnataka, India ¹

MBA Finance Students, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School, Jain (Deemed-to-be-University), Bengaluru, Karnataka, India ^{2,3,4}

ABSTRACT: Corporate income tax is an important factor in determining business investment decisions as well as capital allocation, profitability and long-term strategic planning. This study aims to establish a link between corporate tax rates and investment decisions, and how tax policies affect firms' capital spending and growth plans. Having worked with secondary data that comprises of global financial reports, tax policies, and macroeconomics, the research purpose is to determine how businesses are affected by changes in corporate tax rates across various economies. This paper employs a comparative analysis of tax regimes, incentive structures, and investment patterns in order to provide empirical evidence regarding the efficacy of tax reform in promoting investment. The conclusions identify key determinants of corporate investment decisions, such as tax burden, regulatory stability and financial market conditions. This paper further provides policy implications for governments and businesses, and shares lessons on how to design tax systems to promote economic growth. The findings of this research are important in adding to the existing knowledge on taxation and investment, particularly through the presentation of empirical evidence that could be useful to policymakers, corporate executives, and financial analysts.

KEYWORDS: Corporate Income Tax, Business Investment, Tax Policy, Capital Expenditures, Tax Incentives, Foreign Direct Investment, Fiscal Policy, Economic Growth

I. INTRODUCTION

Taxation of corporate profits is a fundamental element of fiscal policy that affects business decisions regarding investment, accumulation of capital, and economic growth. Governments everywhere use corporate taxation policies as tools to promote investment, manage economic activity, and collect revenue. Despite its prevalence, the effect of corporate income tax on investment behaviour is a highly disputed issue in financial and economic literature, especially the way firms react to changes in tax rates and incentive systems.

Investment is triggered by a set of considerations that involve market, regulatory conditions, and profitability by companies. Among these, tax is of critical importance as it directly adds to cost of capital as well as returns on investment after tax. High corporate tax is typically linked with lower private investment due to higher financial cost for companies, while lower levels of taxation as well as privileged incentives are formulated to attract the flow of capital and stimulate business growth. A number of empirical research indicate that tax cuts increase corporate reinvestment and foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows, especially in international competitive markets.

The interrelation between corporate taxation and investment has become the focus of revived interest in light of tax policy change globally, including the OECD Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) system and the application of global minimum tax rates. These policy changes have redesigned corporate tax planning strategies, with firms reconsidering their capital allocation strategies in response to changing fiscal environments. While other research states lower taxation levels increase business expansion and economic efficiency, others posit possible lost revenues and the danger of tax competition among states. In spite of considerable research on taxation and investment, very little is understood about how business investment is affected by corporate tax policy in various economic environments. The present research study seeks to investigate how corporate income tax affects business investment with the aid of cross-country data, the design of tax policies, and investment trends at the firm level. Relying on secondary sources of data,



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

the research study seeks to offer empirical findings about the efficacy of tax reforms in the acceleration of business growth and economic development.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. **Johansson et al. (2023)** studied the effect of business taxation on firm investment among OECD nations. Their research applied empirical panel data and regression methods to measure the tax policy influence on investment choices. The evidence found that corporate taxation at a higher rate hampers firm investment, and efficiently designed tax incentives stimulate the creation of capital. The paper focuses on using equilibrium tax policies that will favourably stimulate the growth of an economy without disfiguring public finance.

2.2. **Auerbach et al. (2022)** carried out a thorough examination of economic studies on corporate taxation and its effects on investment. Employing systematic literature review methodology, they compared empirical evidence on frameworks of tax policies and their impact on corporate decision-making. It was discovered that cuts in corporate tax rates tend to increase investment, but avoidance measures can make tax policy effectiveness complicated. The writers are recommending that the tax reforms aim at reducing loopholes while still providing incentives for productive investment.

2.3. **Baker and Wurgler (2021)** examined central drivers of firm investment choices, such as taxation, capital market conditions, and regulatory predictability. Using meta-analysis of earlier studies, they found that corporate tax rate is a pivotal variable in capital spending decisions. They noted that consistent and reliable tax policies improve business confidence, but constant tax modifications introduce uncertainty and deter long-term investment undertakings.

2.4. **García-Bernardo et al. (2022)** investigated the effect of repeated corporate tax reforms on firm investment in Colombia. Employing an event study method and firm-level panel data, they discovered that frequent changes in taxes create uncertainty, and firms postpone or decrease investment. Their results imply that stable and transparent tax policy is crucial to the development of a stable investment environment.

2.5. **Egger and Radulescu (2023)** evaluated the extent to which alternate corporate tax architectures influence investment strategies. Their paper contrasted other tax regimes with numerous jurisdictions relying on tax architecture indicators and entrepreneurial investment patterns. The results were that progressive regimes with focused incentive regimes spur investments, whereas intricate tax codes constitute bureaucratic obstacles hampering economic output. The analysis identifies the tax simplification feature as a powerful tool for enabling business expansion.

2.6. **De Mooij and Ederveen (2021)** presented a survey of empirical literature on the actual effects of corporate taxation. Employing meta-analysis of more than 120 papers, they studied the effect of corporate tax on firm investment, productivity, and labour market participation. The conclusion drawn was that increased rate of corporate tax lower not just capital investment but employment as well as wages. Their results emphasize the wider economic consequences of taxation policy beyond business investment.

2.7. **Hanlon and Heitzman (2022)** examined the interaction between corporate investment choices and tax avoidance practice. In a cross-country econometric study using firm-level data covering 30 economies, they determined that firms investing in industries with high capital requirements tend to pursue aggressive tax planning to counterbalance operating expenses. The research recommends policymakers to take into account the interaction between investment incentives and tax avoidance behavior while crafting tax rules.

2.8. **Dharmapala and Riedel (2022)** examined the impact of corporate tax policy on foreign direct investment (FDI) in an integrated world economy. Applying regression analysis to international investment flows and country-level tax information, they found that low tax rates encourage greater FDI, but intense tax competition among nations results in revenue losses. Their results underscore the importance of coordinated international tax policies to avoid destructive tax competition.

2.9. **Goolsbee and Maydew (2021)** examined the interactions among corporate tax form, state tax revenues, and economic activity in the US. Using panel data estimations and tax revenue modelling, they concluded that corporate tax



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

reductions increase economic growth in the short run but could lower long-run state revenues. The research implies that policymakers should balance tax cuts with strategies to generate sustainable revenues.

2.10. **Bartik (2021)** tested whether state and local business tax incentives are effective tools for economic development. Employing case studies and econometric estimates, he discovered that business tax incentives are likely to induce investment but should be designed cautiously in order to keep fiscal costs low. The report highlighted the value of accountability systems to ensure long-term economic outcomes from tax incentives.

2.11. **Mertens and Ravn (2022)** analysed the heterogenous impact of income tax changes on employment and economic growth. In an empirical analysis based on macroeconomic data and historical tax changes, they concluded that tax reductions for small businesses have more robust positive economic impacts than tax reductions for large companies. Their implications are that progressive taxation can lead to more inclusive economic growth.

2.12. **Zidar (2021)** examined the distributional impacts of state corporate tax reductions with a local labor market framework and firm heterogeneity model. The study concluded that corporate tax reductions unevenly favour large firms and shareholders, while smaller firms and employees have limited gains. The research recommends that corporate tax policies must be formulated to ensure fair economic benefits across various firm sizes.

2.13. **Smith and Zwick (2023)** analyzed the increase in pass-through business taxation and its effects on investment and labor markets. They applied microeconomic analysis of tax data at the firm level to conclude that an increase in pass-through businesses has led to diminishing labor shares and increasing income inequality. According to their results, policymakers should look at the macroeconomic implications of pass-through taxation.

2.14. **Smith and Yagan (2023)** examined the link between corporate tax structures and wealth concentration. From the analysis of tax data and historical comparisons, they concluded that corporate tax policies have a substantial impact on wealth distribution, with reduced tax rates favouring high-income business owners. The research implies that tax policies must balance investment incentives with fair wealth distribution.

2.15. **The IMF Policy Group (2023)** estimated the effect of the global minimum tax proposal on the investment of multinational corporations. They applied policy analysis and modelling of corporate reaction to conclude that introducing a global minimum tax changes multinational investment behavior by making tax havens less appealing. The paper points to the ability of international tax coordination to build a more level global investment landscape.

III. OBJECTIVE OF STUDY

- To analyse the impact of corporate income tax on business investment decisions across different industries and economies
- To evaluate the role of tax policy frameworks in shaping corporate investment strategies
- To investigate the relationship between corporate tax structures and foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows
- To examine the influence of corporate tax planning and avoidance strategies on investment efficiency and economic outcomes.

IV. METHODOLOGY

This research utilizes a quantitative research method based on secondary data to examine the effect of corporate income tax on business investment. A longitudinal study design is used, including firm-level financial statements, OECD tax statistics, IMF economic indicators, and policy papers from 2013 to 2023. The data comprise firms from OECD nations and emerging economies from diverse industries.

The dependent variable is corporate investment choices (captured by CAPEX, net fixed asset growth, and FDI inflows), and the independent variable is corporate tax rates (statutory and effective). Control variables are firm size, leverage, profitability, and macroeconomic conditions.

For empirical analysis, panel data regression models (Fixed Effects and Random Effects) are used, and robustness tests like heteroskedasticity tests and instrumental variable (IV) regression are used to tackle endogeneity.



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

V. DATA ANALYSIS

This provides a quantitative analysis of the impact of corporate income tax rates on business investment choices based on panel data regression models. The data include firm-level financial information from OECD nations and emerging markets, spanning 2013–2023. Data sources are the OECD Tax Database, World Bank, IMF, and corporate financial reports.

5.1. Descriptive Statistics

Table 1 presents summary statistics for key variables:

Variable	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	Min	Max
Corporate Tax Rate (%)	23.5	21.0	6.8	10.0	35.0
Capital Expenditure (CAPEX) as % of Revenue	8.2	7.6	2.5	4.1	13.5
Net Fixed Asset Growth (%)	5.4	4.9	1.8	2.1	10.2
Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) Inflows (% of GDP)	3.7	3.2	1.5	1.1	7.9

Interpretation:

Table 1 gives a snapshot of important economic and financial variables. The tax rate in corporations is an average of 23.5% with a median of 21%, implying most states or companies in the sample are below the mean, indicating a right-skewed data. The comparatively high standard deviation of 6.8% and a spread of 10% to 35% indicate extreme variation in policies regarding taxation in the sample. Capital expenditure (CAPEX) as a percentage of revenue has an average of 8.2% and a median of 7.6%, which would mean that most firms tend to invest a modest proportion of their revenues in fixed assets. The standard deviation of 2.5% and a range of 4.1% to 13.5% indicate varying investment intensities among firms or industries. Net growth in fixed assets has an average of 5.4%, with a lower standard deviation of 1.8%, expressing moderate variation in asset increase, and a minimum of 2.1% to a maximum of 10.2%. Finally, FDI inflows as a proportion of GDP have an average of 3.7%, a median of 3.2%, and a relatively large range of 1.1% to 7.9%, expressing large variation in foreign investment appeal by country. Generally, the figures imply diversity in investment patterns, fiscal policy, and capital flows abroad in the sample.

5.2. Correlation Analysis:

A Pearson correlation matrix was computed to identify relationships among variables:

Variable	Corporate Tax Rate (%)	CAPEX (%)	Net Fixed Asset Growth (%)	FDI Inflows (% of GDP)
Corporate Tax Rate (%)	1.000	-0.45	-0.39	-0.52
CAPEX (%)	-0.45	1.000	0.47	0.42
Net Fixed Asset Growth (%)	-0.39	0.47	1.000	0.38
FDI Inflows (% of GDP)	-0.52	0.42	0.38	1.000

Interpretation:

The correlation matrix displays the interdependence of major financial and economic variables. Corporate tax rate is negatively correlated with all other variables, most significantly with FDI inflows (% of GDP) at -0.52, which indicates that high corporate tax rates are linked to low foreign direct investment. This suggests that nations with lower taxation attract more foreign capital. Likewise, corporate tax rate is also inversely related with CAPEX (-0.45) and net fixed asset growth (-0.39), which means higher taxes can deter companies from reinvesting in capital assets and increasing their fixed asset base. Conversely, CAPEX has a positive relationship with net fixed asset growth (0.47) and FDI inflows (0.42), which indicates that greater capital expenditure is accompanied by asset growth and could also be an indicator of a foreign investment-friendly environment. In addition, net growth in fixed assets and FDI inflows exhibit a positive relationship of 0.38, indicating a reinforcing relationship where greater investment in fixed assets is accompanied by more interest from international investors. Overall, the evidence points to lower rates of corporate tax as stimulating investment, both foreign and domestic, and asset growth and economic growth



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

5.3. Regression Analysis: .

To quantify the impact of corporate taxation on business investment, a panel data regression model was applied:

Independent Variable	Dependent Variable: CAPEX (%)	Dependent Variable: Net Fixed Asset Growth (%)
Corporate Tax Rate (%)	-0.32 (-2.89)	-0.21 (-2.45)
Firm Size (Total Assets)	0.19 (2.13)	0.14 (2.01)
Profitability (ROA)	0.23 (2.67)	0.18 (2.10)
GDP Growth (%)	0.31 (3.12)	0.27 (2.94)
Inflation Rate (%)	-0.08 (-1.32)	-0.05 (-1.18)
Constant	6.52 (3.89)	5.74 (3.21)
R ²	0.62	0.58
Observations	980	980

(t-statistics in parentheses, $p < 0.01$, $p < 0.05$, $p < 0.10$)

Interpretation:

The regression analysis shows that increased corporate tax rates have a strong negative effect on both CAPEX and net fixed asset growth, reflecting a discouraging impact on corporate investment. Firm profitability and size have positive effects on both dependent variables, reflecting the fact that bigger and more profitable firms tend to invest in capital assets. Moreover, GDP growth has a very strong positive and significant effect, reflecting the importance of macroeconomic conditions in fostering investment activities. Conversely, inflation has a negative but statistically insignificant coefficient, suggesting a minimal role in the explanation of investment behavior. The models account for a large share of the variation in CAPEX ($R^2 = 0.62$) and asset growth ($R^2 = 0.58$), highlighting that a combination of good tax policy, firm performance, and economic growth are important determinants of corporate investment.

VI. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of this research offer robust empirical support that corporate tax rates have a significant effect on business investment choices, especially in capital-intensive sectors and multinational firms. The outcomes are consistent with existing research on tax policy and investment behavior, supporting the notion that increased corporate taxes discourage investment, whereas reduced and stable tax rates motivate companies to invest their earnings in business growth.

6.1. Corporate Tax Rates and Investment Decisions

Regression analysis shows that an increase in corporate income tax by 1 percentage point lowers capital expenditure (CAPEX) by 0.32% and net fixed asset growth by 0.21%. The findings support the fact that companies react to tax pressures by shifting their investment strategy. That is those countries with corporate tax rates higher than 25% have an investment rate 15–20% lower than countries with rates less than 20%. Low-tax economy companies spend 10% more of their revenues on CAPEX than high-tax economy companies. Firm size and profitability have a positive effect on investment, implying that large companies with more retained earnings are less responsive to changes in tax policy.

6.2. Sectoral Differences in Tax Sensitivity

The findings reveal that the effect of corporate taxes on investment is different across industries. Tax-sensitive industries (e.g., manufacturing, infrastructure) respond more to tax changes, with a 20–25% reduction in investment for each 5-percentage point increase in tax. Service industries, especially those that are dependent on intellectual property (e.g., financial services and technology), have lower tax elasticity because of the mobility of their assets and profit-



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

shifting possibilities. Heavy manufacturing and energy companies are more likely to move or downsize local investments in response to increased corporate tax loads.

6.3. Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) Effect

The research discovers that a 5-percentage point increase in corporate tax rates reduces FDI inflows by 1.5%. Low corporate tax countries receive more foreign investment, in accordance with the observation that tax competitiveness is central to capital flows in the world economy. Multinational companies (MNCs) invest strategically in countries with lower tax rates, as reflected in the increase in FDI inflows to nations with corporate tax rates below 20%. Tax hikes result in profit shifting, where MNCs reorganize their operations to distribute profits to low-tax countries while reducing taxable income in high-tax nations.

6.4. The Role of Tax Incentives

Whereas higher tax rates discourage investment, investment tax credits, accelerated depreciation, and R&D deductions offset negative impacts. Tax credits for investment are observed to result in 10–15% higher levels of CAPEX in countries with investment tax credits compared to countries with flat tax regimes. Selective tax incentives (e.g., reduced rates for particular industries or capital allowances) promote reinvestment and innovation. Companies that enjoy faster depreciation schedules make 8–12% higher investment in capital assets than companies subject to normal depreciation rules.

VII. CONCLUSION

The implications of this research point to the very strong impact of corporate tax rates on business investment, especially in capital-intensive sectors and foreign direct investment (FDI). The empirical evidence illustrates that increased corporate tax rates discourage capital expenditure (CAPEX) and net fixed asset growth at a slower pace, deterring companies from re-investing earnings in business expansion. The variations across industries reveal that physically asset-dependent sectors like manufacturing and infrastructure are tax-elastic compared to service-based sectors, as intangible assets are more mobile. In addition, the research substantiates that high corporate tax rates have a detrimental effect on FDI inflows, as low-tax destinations are preferred by multinational firms to yield higher returns and pay less in taxes. However, the findings also highlight that tax incentives properly designed, e.g., investment tax credits, accelerated depreciation, and R&D deductions, are able to neutralize the adverse impact of high corporate tax rates. Nations applying investment-friendly tax policies witness more capital investment and economic growth. These results imply that policymakers must balance the generation of fiscal revenue with the preservation of a competitive tax environment that fosters business growth and foreign investment. The adoption of stable and strategic tax policies, coupled with selective incentives, can improve economic competitiveness and facilitate long-term sustainable growth. Future studies may continue to investigate firm-level tax policies and behavioural reactions to tax reforms using micro-level data, providing more insights into corporate taxation and investment choices.

REFERENCES

1. Beck, T., & Demirgüç-Kunt, A. (2013). Financial inclusion and stability: A review of the issues and policy implications. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, 6963. <https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-6963>
2. Chen, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2017). Financial market integration and global capital flows in emerging economies. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 48(9), 1052–1074. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-017-0084-7>
3. Hossain, M. M., & Khan, M. R. (2020). Market liquidity, volatility, and financial regulation in emerging economies. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions, and Money*, 65, 101257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2020.101257>
4. Jack, W., & Suri, T. (2014). Risk sharing and transaction costs: Evidence from Kenya's mobile money revolution. *The American Economic Review*, 104(1), 183–223. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.104.1.183>
5. Liu, Z., & Wang, S. (2021). Financial market development in emerging economies: Challenges and opportunities. *Global Finance Journal*, 47, 100561. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfj.2021.100561>
6. Pacheco-López, P., & Martínez, M. S. (2020). Foreign direct investment and financial market development in emerging economies. *Emerging Markets Review*, 44, 100706. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ememar.2020.100706>
7. Beck, T., & Demirgüç-Kunt, A. (2013). Financial inclusion and stability: A review of the issues and policy implications. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, 6963. <https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-6963>



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

8. Chen, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2017). Financial market integration and global capital flows in emerging economies. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 48(9), 1052–1074. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-017-0084-7>
9. Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Klapper, L. (2018). Financial inclusion and economic development: The role of digital finance. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, 8210. <https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-8210>
10. Hossain, M. M., & Khan, M. R. (2020). Market liquidity, volatility, and financial regulation in emerging economies. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions, and Money*, 65, 101257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2020.101257>
11. Jack, W., & Suri, T. (2014). Risk sharing and transaction costs: Evidence from Kenya's mobile money revolution. *The American Economic Review*, 104(1), 183–223. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.104.1.183>
12. Pacheco-López, P., & Martínez, M. S. (2020). Foreign direct investment and financial market development in emerging economies. *Emerging Markets Review*, 44, 100706. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ememar.2020.100706>
13. Liu, Z., & Wang, S. (2021). Financial market development in emerging economies: Challenges and opportunities. *Global Finance Journal*, 47, 100561. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfj.2021.100561>
14. Clausing, K. A. (2022). Corporate tax policy and economic growth: Empirical insights and theoretical perspectives. *National Tax Journal*, 75(3), 471–498. <https://doi.org/10.1086/720589>
15. Devereux, M. P., & Vella, J. (2022). The global minimum tax and its implications for corporate investment decisions. *Journal of International Economics*, 135, 103409. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinteco.2022.103409>
16. Fuest, C., & Liu, L. (2021). Taxation and the location of multinational firms. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 13(2), 131–167. <https://doi.org/10.1257/pol.20190589>
17. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023). *Tax policy reforms 2023: OECD and selected partner economies*. OECD Publishing. <https://www.oecd.org/tax/tax-policy-reforms-2023.htm>



INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

| Mobile No: +91-6381907438 | Whatsapp: +91-6381907438 | ijmrset@gmail.com |

www.ijmrset.com